



FOKOSW

NUMEROUS  
PLANS OF THE  
ENDS OR EDGES  
OF BEING

# Numerous Plans of the Ends or Edges of Being

FOKOSW

- A square has four sides, every side has a story. Life has innumerable sides, and these stories are terribly complex.

## Preface

This book is broken into rules, though ironically because we advocate no rules, obligations, purposes or responsibilities. Now you could follow those things, but history has shown that regardless of your efforts to appease what doesn't like you, know you, cares about you or acknowledges you is INSANE. Insanity; doing the same thing over and over again. Everything is subjective. If you are intellectually honest then you will admit you are not right nor wrong but ARE.

Most people disagree with each other. Under Trump, many agree we are divided. If you study subjective idealism then you will recognize that no one is objectively valid. Technically if you study all rebuttals of all ideologies and ideas alike, there are always flaws in them. NO IDEA IS SAFE. Even this book could be heavily criticized and scrutinized. We all fail in the end. You may be able to convince somebody in your life about what YOU believe is right but another will oppose you in protest of your supposed hardwork.

Isn't this a metaphor for modern education? You can lead a horse to water ---- etc. etc. People HATE each other. Let's be honest! Even those who state 'human being' as a response to 'who are you?' rather than race, political ideology, tribe, religion, et. al are in protest against those "racist, bigoted,

primitive, and Jesus Freak ideologues.” Rather than me explaining why we are here or what we should do (which is ironic, you will disagree) I took the time to identify the problem; hence the title. FOKOSW is not right nor wrong but IS, this isn’t clever linguistics but a fact, absolutely speaking. FOKOSW, you could almost say is anarchistic, we are diametrically opposed to cults but rather advocate formlessness like Sun Tzu expressed in the 48 Laws of Power because nothing is guaranteed. I am you and you are me, which could be argued nothing by the nihilist but I think along the more absurdist line which is undefined and IF, O God’s no! If you say otherwise and argue something else then you cannot objectively prove it. It is a abstract concept to label yourself.

## Rule 1: You Don't Exist

When I state you, I am using this as a means to describe a entity by which entity is a abstract concept. An abstract concept is a idea, the foundation of this statement: “You don't exist” is based off idealism rather than realism. As a idealist, I admit my own interpretations of myself and my work is purely SUBJECTIVE.

Is the FOKOSW appeasing the Gods or is the FOKOSW angering the Gods? Are there Gods? Does it really matter? What is matter? What IS? All good questions, answers come like this; all of these questions are categorized under abstract concepts.

Woah! We basically went into a circle didn't we? You see, nothing cannot be proven I thought for a long time. But how? That's the concrete fact! Is there such a thing as concrete facts? If someone robbed me, did I get robbed? What is robbed? Words have multiple meanings people, different perspectives. Perspectivism is a good friend, we use it everyday. “Oh, that's just your opinion!” you say to your Republican social conservative Christian friend. Or “That's just what you believe!” you say to your Democrat environmentalist atheist colleague.

Well, that's the point of the preface. I think I'm breaking the fourth wall, a little too much. Let's talk about surface reality, something which you see and interpret. When you are going to work, is it labour or slavery? This is a important question which

will classify you as a evil Capitalist or a good Communist (really this is a false dichotomy but let's use this as a example).

Some black anarchists in college argue that this system is a illegitimate one which exploits the precious labor of the proletariat. Some elitist white capitalist argues that this system is functional and irregardless of the exploitation, we are all selfish consumers and really this whole "proletariat" thing is a farce, only hard workers exist. Did I even get those definitions correct or am I generalizing? Oh yeah, I almost forgot this is my opinion. Neither wrong or right but are there bad and good opinions and are there bad and good ideas?

Rule 2: You are not right or wrong

People often get offended at shallowness and close-mindedness but are all ideas worth any value? If so, does Charles Manson and those alike get that pass? Or Kanye West? I have no jurisdiction to say either, really it is up to the person whether they are right or wrong. Let's talk or rather criticize the FOKOSW. Is the FOKOSW right or wrong? In between? It is up to the person. Maybe the FOKOSW is a cult? "Oh, that's just your opinion." says the author. Maybe the FOKOSW is the second coming of Jesus Christ? "That's correct! Marvelous!" says the author. Double standards and hypocrisies ladies and gentlemen, those are the name of the game. Everybody else is

wrong but you. I argue nobody is right or wrong because they do not have the righteous authority or jurisdiction to do so.

But, does Asmodeus or Venus have the right? Oh my, is this blasphemy you may ask? Well, it is a honest and sincere question. I mean, our religious interpretation is misguided because we have a tendency to say “Well no, Jesus is the way, the truth and the light!” or maybe Allah is superior to Zeus. Astaroth > Jesus, or Jesus > Astaroth, etc. How do we determine which God is correct or rather right or wrong? And if so, which is the right or wrong way to approach this deity?

Do we say; Hail Satan or Ave Satanas? Do we say Hallelujah or praise Yah? So on and so forth. Many people have disagreed with each other, which I spoken on in the “New Satanist.” As a subjective idealist, I succumb to my own private interpretations and develop theories from the scientific method. I research, review and think about, execute it, analyze the results and develop a theory. Is the theory of the FOKOSW right or wrong or more correct than yours? Gods, no! You are neither right or wrong but ARE.



### Rule 3: YOU ARE

I think by now you have identified a pattern in my little book, I keep stating YOU ARE. Have you ever heard of the phrase, “I am what I am”? I am sure you have some discussions with your intellectual colleagues about self-esteem. Well, this time let’s talk about the self. Freudian psychology, ego, id, superego, etc. are the canvas which we will paint on this rule. Well, unless I use chaos magick to do so, this is a fallacy.

But you see, I walk with confidence and swagger, I am loud and clear, intellectual and graduated from a Ivy League college. Abstract concept it is. Now, to make clear I am not a new-ager who believes in the complete eradication of the ego because as a subjective idealist, I believe the idea of the self or self-esteem is subjective.

No one is objectively evil because Evil is a unresolved moral dualist conceptual monument. However, it must be clear that Sophia and Reason are God. God broken down in etymology is power, and you have the power to use wisdom and reason to your advantage. I cannot say “Please, do not rob because it is evil and wrong!” but rather “If that doesn’t convince you then my concealed/open-carry firearm will.” Of course some Yale University professors will look at this statement with disgust and

in retrospect, look into the text and say “No, FOKOSW! Reason and wisdom are abstract concepts!” To which I respond, the highest values devalue themselves BUT... this is a statement and if shall not be proven then you are wrong in your assumption.

A silly way of saying, if you don't care then I don't care. You are a moral nihilist, existential, ontological, etc. you say? I can't prove reason and wisdom exist? Then you can't prove that I cannot prove that reason and wisdom exists. This could go on and on but life is too short to argue 4th dimensional metaphysics concerning sophisticated language.

#### Rule 4: You do not live

Are you a human being? Or are you being human? If you choose either, are you prepared to argue that position? Are you prepared to defend that? Because some may argue you are not. Some argue, you are a object or just an animal (specifically mammal) or just nothing to mereological nihilists. Are you alive? I would say in my humble opinion, no, you are neither dead nor alive. You just are...

A bit of a blank statement that says nothing but we head back to the preface, I have stated that you are not a supreme authority to determine that or can be proven objectively. Philosophy is a tricky fellow because many have come to the forefront to state that life has no intrinsic value and has no purpose or value and that even objects are not even real. The only thing that exists is your mind. Christ, that is scary isn't that? It sounds like I really don't live but I convince myself everyday that I have a steady heartbeat? Gods, no! Absurd! True, let's start there.

Albert Camus argued that Nietzsche is not exactly valid and developed a contrary belief to nihilism. This is called to be pondering about and in fact it is absurd. Why try to find meaning in life when you do not need to? Have you ever thought about that? Do you absolutely need a purpose to exist? Or live? I don't. I'll start. Do I live or am I alive? Well, I would argue

working 9-5 Monday-Friday paying taxes to a corrupt government, and eating fast food is not living. It is like Hell or death, you could argue.

Am I wrong or right? Is it rather anarchistic and rebellious of me to say that? Is that simply over exaggeration? If so, please define what is living? How should life be lived? Is life worth living? Very important questions which will determine the results of Rule #4. I have my own personal opinions but, I am intrigued to figure out the answer(s). Whether from people or from the Gods; which may or may not exist.

Rule #5: Your life is meaningless

A very strong title but before any lawsuits are filed for supposedly advocating suicide, please take this inoffensively and rather as an intellectual argument. See that, maybe this author is a alter ego of the person. Anyways, people do not consider that, even for a moment that their inevitable demise is coming and what will be made from it. If they do then they will take it seriously, or critically analyze this situation. As a result, we have existential crises. I have studied numerous theories and statements on this one subject and came to a conclusion; it is a open-ended circular logic discussion. If there really isn't meaning in life or in the life of mine, then what the hell am I doing? Why haven't I given up the ghost yet? And this is a question that everybody should answer. Disregarding our hedonistic tendencies to dismiss these 'depressing' topics of discussion, we must seek Sophia while we can. The meaning of life could be simplified into a single principle; the theory of value.

Is value a objective concrete thing or a subjective abstract concept? I don't know --- for sure anyway. I cannot really prove either way but if I had to then I would say the latter. I mean does money have value? Can you eat money? Yes, you could exchange money but based off whatever economic conditions your country is under; your currency has more or less value than

the other. The highest values devalue themselves you say, I cannot make that a solid concrete thing. We say, the sky is blue but others look up and see something different. Perspectivism, our best friend. It is just your opinion, they always say. But is fact or rather well-known that if there was one right way to do everything then everybody would do that. Not very complex.

Rule #6: You can't give everything away

Now this even mathematically, rationally, and reasonably speaking this is a arguably agreeable hypothesis. I cannot give you the clothes on my back, my heart, my soul, my everything because am I worth 'value'? Subjective. Is my 'everything' worth anything? People like to think in terms of being selfless and moral but I argue that there is no opposite because these concepts are theories. Am I being selfish when a homeless man comes and asks for change when the change that he is asking for DOES NOT EXIST? Watch this phrasing because I don't have any change to give in the first place.

How can I be immoral? If morality is a thing that is... Define morality as  $M$ , in a mathematical equation and quantify your moral compass numerically then base your life off of that. No one will do that, but you did you come to the realization that you are a immoral person. You see, you can't give everything away and if everybody in the world asks you for change, and you cannot offer, then you have lost this 'morality' game. This is a challenge rather for the moral dualist and alike who believe that there are good and evil things.

God is good. Satan is evil. Some gnostics state that when Eve bit the Apple that she gained gnosis and that God was preventing that, so Satan enlightened them. So; you could reverse those and

state; Satan is good and God is evil. I would say; Satan is Satan and God is God. What you see is what you get. Are you a white knight or a devil? That's up to you. Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the law? Well, I say do what you want.